



THOMAS P. DiNAPOLI
COMPTROLLER

STATE OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER
110 STATE STREET
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12236

GABRIEL F. DEYO
DEPUTY COMPTROLLER
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT
AND SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY
Tel: (518) 474-4037 Fax: (518) 486-6479

April 10, 2014

Dr. Paul Dorward, Superintendent
Members of the Board of Education
Beacon City School District
10 Education Drive
Beacon, NY 12508-4066

Report Number: B6-14-10

Dear Dr. Dorward and Members of the Board of Education:

Chapter 308 of the Laws of 2005 authorized the Beacon City School District (District) to issue debt up to a maximum amount of \$5,288,000 to liquidate the accumulated deficit in the District's general fund as of June 30, 2005. Local Finance Law Section 10.10 requires all local governments that have been authorized to issue obligations to fund operating deficits to submit to the State Comptroller each year, starting with the fiscal year during which the local government is authorized to issue obligations and for each subsequent fiscal year during which the deficit obligations are outstanding, their preliminary budget for the next succeeding fiscal year.

The budget must be submitted no later than 30 days before the date scheduled for the governing board's vote on its adoption or the last date on which the budget may be finally adopted, whichever is earlier. The State Comptroller must examine the preliminary budget and make recommendations for any changes that are needed to bring the preliminary budget into balance. Such recommendations are made after the examination into the estimates of revenues and expenditures of the District.

Our office has recently completed a review of the District's preliminary budget for the 2014-15 fiscal year. The objective of the review was to provide an independent evaluation of the preliminary budget. Our review addressed the following question related to the District's preliminary budget for the 2014-15 fiscal year:

- Are the significant revenue and expenditure projections in the District's preliminary budget reasonable?

To accomplish our objective in this review, we requested your preliminary budget, salary schedules, debt payment schedules and other pertinent information. We identified and examined significant estimated revenues and expenditures for reasonableness with emphasis on significant

and/or unrealistic increases or decreases. We analyzed, verified and/or corroborated trend data and estimates, where appropriate. We identified any significant new or unusually high revenue or expenditure estimates, made appropriate inquiries and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the nature of the items and to assess whether the estimate was realistic and reasonable. We also evaluated the amount of fund balance appropriated in the preliminary budget to be used as a financing source and determined if the amount of fund balance was available and sufficient for that purpose.

The scope of our review does not constitute an audit under generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). We do not offer comments or make specific recommendations on public policy decisions, such as the type and level of services under consideration to be provided.

The preliminary budget package submitted for review for the 2014-15 fiscal year consisted of the following:

- 2014-15 Preliminary Budget
- Supplementary Information

The preliminary budget submitted to our office is summarized as follows:

Fund	Appropriations and Provisions and Other Uses	Estimated Revenues	Appropriated Fund Balance	Real Property Taxes
General	\$64,625,000	\$25,842,297	\$3,500,000	\$35,282,703

Based on the results of our review, we found that the significant revenue and expenditure projections in the preliminary budget are reasonable.

The 2014-15 preliminary budget includes the appropriation of \$3.5 million of fund balance to help finance the 2014-15 operations. District officials also plan to liquidate portions of the Tax Reduction Reserves in the 2014-15 budget. The District's actions are reasonable, given the current economic conditions. However, District officials must keep in mind that the continued reliance on using fund balance to fund District operations will eventually deplete fund balance. In future years, District officials will need to identify other revenue sources to replace fund balance or make corresponding reductions in expenditures.

Tax Cap Compliance

The State Legislature and the Governor enacted Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 that established a tax levy limit on all local governments and school districts, which was effective beginning in the 2012 fiscal year. The law precludes a school district from adopting a budget that requires a tax levy that exceeds the prior year's tax levy by more than 2 percent or the rate of inflation, whichever is less, and certain exclusions permitted by law, unless 60 percent of district voters approve a budget that requires a tax levy that exceeds the statutory limit.

The District's proposed budget complies with the tax levy limit because it includes a tax levy within the limits established by law. In adopting the 2014-15 budget, the Board of Education should be mindful of the legal requirement to maintain the tax levy increase to no more than the tax levy limit as permitted by law, unless it obtains the proper voter approval to override the tax levy limit.

We request that you provide us with a copy of the adopted budget.

We hope that this information is useful as you adopt the upcoming budget for the District. If you have any questions on the scope of our work, please feel free to contact Tenneh Blamah, Chief Examiner of our Newburgh office, at (845) 567-0858.

Sincerely,

Gabriel F. Deyo

cc: Ann Marie Quartironi, Assistant Superintendent for Business
Kelly Pologe, Clerk of the Board of Education
John C. Pennoyer, District Superintendent, BOCES
Hon. John A. Defrancisco, Chair, NYS Senate Finance Committee
Hon. Herman D. Farrell, Chair, NYS Assembly Ways and Means Committee
Hon. Frank Skartados, NYS Assembly
Hon. Terry Gibson, NYS Senate
Robert L. Megna, Director, Division of the Budget
Dr. John B. King Jr., Commissioner, State Education Department
Maria Guzman, Director, Office of Audit Services, State Education Department
Tenneh Blamah, Chief Examiner, Office of the State Comptroller