



THOMAS P. DiNAPOLI
COMPTROLLER

STATE OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER
110 STATE STREET
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12236

GABRIEL F. DEYO
DEPUTY COMPTROLLER
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT
AND SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY
Tel: (518) 474-4037 Fax: (518) 486-6479

April 11, 2016

David Gill, Superintendent
Members of the Board of Education
Chenango Valley Central School District
221 Chenango Bridge Road
Binghamton, NY 13901

Report Number: B4-16-5

Dear Superintendent Gill and Members of the Board of Education:

Chapter 206 of the Laws of 2008 authorized the Chenango Valley Central School District (District) to issue debt totaling \$3.5 million to liquidate the accumulated deficit in the District's general fund and food service fund as of June 30, 2008. New York State Local Finance Law Section 10.10 requires all local governments that have been authorized to issue obligations to fund operating deficits to submit to the State Comptroller each year, starting with the fiscal year during which the local government is authorized to issue obligations and for each subsequent fiscal year during which the deficit obligations are outstanding, their proposed budget for the next succeeding fiscal year.

The budget must be submitted no later than 30 days before the date scheduled for the Board's vote on its adoption or the last date on which the budget may be finally adopted, whichever is earlier. The State Comptroller must examine the proposed budget and make recommendations for any changes that are needed to bring the proposed budget into balance. Such recommendations are made after the examination into the District's estimates of revenues and expenditures.

Our office has recently completed a review of the District's budget for the 2016-17 fiscal year. The objective of the review was to provide an independent evaluation of the proposed budget. Our review addressed the following question related to the District's budget for the 2016-17 fiscal year:

- Are the significant revenue and expenditure projections in the District's proposed budget reasonable?

To accomplish our objective in this review, we requested your proposed budget, salary schedules, debt payment schedules and other pertinent information. We identified and examined significant estimated revenues and expenditures for reasonableness with emphasis on significant and/or unrealistic increases or decreases. We analyzed, verified and/or corroborated trend data and

estimates, where appropriate. We identified any significant new or unusually high revenue or expenditure estimates, made appropriate inquiries and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the nature of the items and to assess whether the estimate was realistic and reasonable. We also evaluated the amount of fund balance appropriated in the proposed budget to be used as a financing source and determined if the amount of fund balance was available and sufficient for that purpose.

The scope of our review does not constitute an audit under generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). We do not offer comments or make specific recommendations on public policy decisions, such as the type and level of services under consideration to be provided.

The proposed budget package submitted for review for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 consisted of the following:

- 2016-17 Proposed general and food service fund budget
- Supplementary information

The proposed budget submitted to our office is summarized as follows:

Fund	Appropriations and Provisions for Other Uses	Estimated Revenues	Appropriated Use of Fund Balance and Reserves	Real Property Taxes
General	\$35,741,512	\$16,549,715	\$550,000	\$18,641,797
Food Service	\$798,582	\$798,582	\$0	\$0

Based on the results of our review, we found that the significant revenue and expenditure projections in the proposed budget are reasonable.

Tax Cap Compliance

The State Legislature and the Governor enacted Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 that established a tax levy limit on all local governments and school districts, which was effective beginning in the 2012 fiscal year. The law precludes a school district from adopting a budget that requires a tax levy that exceeds the prior year’s tax levy by more than 2 percent or the rate of inflation, whichever is less, and certain exclusions permitted by law, unless 60 percent of district voters approve a budget that requires a tax levy that exceeds the statutory limit.

The District’s proposed budget complies with the tax levy limit because it includes a tax levy of \$18,641,797, which is within the limits established by law. In adopting the 2016-17 budget, the Board should be mindful of the legal requirement to maintain the tax levy increase to no more than the tax levy limit as permitted by law, unless it obtains the proper voter approval to override the tax levy limit.

We request that you provide us with a copy of the adopted budget.

We hope that this information is useful as you adopt the upcoming budget for the District. If you have any questions on the scope of our work, please feel free to contact H. Todd Eames, Chief Examiner of the Binghamton Regional Office, at (607) 721-8306.

Sincerely,

Gabriel F. Deyo
Deputy Comptroller

cc: Elizabeth Donahue, School Business Executive
Allen D. Buyck, District Superintendent of Broome-Tioga BOCES
Susan Cirba, Clerk of the Board
Hon. Catharine M. Young, Chair, NYS Senate Finance Committee
Hon. Herman D. Farrell, Jr., Chair, NYS Assembly Ways and Means Committee
Hon. Clifford Crouch, NYS Assembly
Hon. Frederick J. Akshar II, NYS Senate
Robert F. Mujica, Jr., Director, Division of the Budget
MaryEllen Elia, Commissioner, State Education Department
Thalia Melendez, Director, Office of Audit Services, State Education Department
Andrew A. SanFilippo, Executive Deputy Comptroller
H. Todd Eames, Chief Examiner