ACCOUNTING
AND CONTROL
MANUAL
BULLETIN

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE STATE COMPTROLLER

DIVISION OF AUDITS AND ACCOUNTS

BULLETIN NO. P-295

December 24, 1981

To : All State Departments and Agencies

Subject: Adjustment of Salaries for Certain Employees in the

Professional, Scientific and Technical Services Negotiating Unit who were promoted between

April 1 and June 30, 1979

Chapter 1027 of the Laws of 1981 and Chapter 474 of the Laws of 1980 provide for the extention of the percentage method of determining salary upon promotion to employees of the professional, scientific and technical services negotiating unit (NU 05) who were appointed or promoted to a higher grade position between April 1 and June 30, 1979. Under the legislation, an employee is entitled to an increase equal to the percentage applicable for the number of grades or to the minimum salary for the grade on the April 1, 1979 Salary Schedule. However, under Chapter 1027, the percentage method will only be applied if it does not result in a lower salary on July 1, 1979 than the employee would have been entitled to receive under the provisions of previous legislation, including Chapter 12 of the Laws of 1978.

Of particular concern is the effect that the percentage method will have for an employee entitled to the minimum salary for the grade and on eligibility for a recomputed promotion salary on July 1, 1979 as a result of a performance advance in the lower grade, since an employee is ineligible to receive the 1979 performance advance if he or she receives 2% or more as a result of moving to the minimum of the grade on the July 1, 1979 Salary Schedule. If the recalculated promotion salary is less than the salary listed below for his or her grade, the employee is ineligible for the 1979 performance advance.

Grade	1	\$ 6451	Grade 14	\$12867	Grade 26	\$24529
	2	6710	15	13612	27	25872
	3	7053	16	14392	28	27250
	4	7382	17	15215	29	28696
	5	77 45	18	16098	30	30210
	6	8181	19	16980	31	31838
	7	8651	20	17867	32	33549
	8	9147	21	18833	33	35387
	9	9671	22	19857	34	37289
	10	10235	23	20926	35	39235
	11	10843	24	22058	36	41225
	12	11465	25	23289	37	43401
	13	12151				

Each agency is responsible for identifying employees who may be entitled to salary adjustments as a result of this change. To determine if an employee is entitled to an adjusted salary as a result of these provisions, you must recompute the employee's salary to see if it results in a higher salary. PR-75's to pay adjustments due may be submitted in any payroll period.

Following are examples of the recalculations. Questions concerning these procedures should be directed to the Salary Determination Unit at (518) 474-1248 or 474-3564.

Following are examples of this procedure:

Promotion grade 18 to 22

Original salaries:

\$18408 4/1/79 grade 18 salary (including 1979 salary increase) \$20255 5/24/79 grade 22 Chapter 12 promotion salary and July 1, 1979 salary (equals hiring rate July 1, 1979 PS&T schedule)

Recalculation:

\$18408

1

+1381 7½% (4 grade) promotion increase

\$19789 Salary less than amount on above chart (\$19857) and less than originally paid- no further action required.

In this example, there is no change to the salaries paid the employee

Promotion grade 25 to 27

Original salaries:

\$25535 + 4/1/79 grade 25 salary (including 1979 salary increase)

\$26496 4/12/79 grade 27 Chapter 12 promotion salary and July 1, 1979 salary

Recalculation:

\$25535

+1150 4½% (2 grade) promotion increase

\$26685 Salary higher than amount on above chart (\$25872) and higher than salary originally paid. Proceed to second computation reflecting July 1 performance advance due in lower grade:

\$25535

+893 Grade 25 performance advance

26428

+1190 4½% promotion increase

\$27618 July 1, 1979 revised grade 27 salary

In this example, the employee is due a salary correction from \$26496 to \$26685 retroactive to the date of promotion, and from \$26496 to \$27618 retroactive to the date that the 1979 performance advance was paid.

December 24, 1981

Bulletin No. P-295

-2-

Also, if recalculation results in a salary higher than the original salary paid, and no performance advance is due in the lower grade, the employee is entitled to the revised salary as of the date of promotion.

Following is an example of this situation:

Promotion grade 23 to 27

Original salaries:

\$25470 4/1/79 grade 23 salary (including 1979 salary increase)

\$26428 4/26/79 grade 27 Chapter 12 promotion salary and July 1, 1979 salary

Recalculation:

\$25470

+1911 7½% (4 grade) promotion increase
\$27381 Salary higher than originally paid and above hiring rate July 1,
1979 PS&T schedule. Not necessary to make second computation
for July 1, 1979 performance advance as grade 23 salary was

In this example, the employee is due a salary correction from \$26428 to \$27381 retroactive to the date of promotion.

Last, it is possible that the promotion salary paid under Chapter 12, and a performance advance computed for July 1979 in the same manner as provided by Chapter 12 could result in the highest salaries.

Following is an example of this procedure:

above job rate.

Promotion grade 24 to 25

Original salaries:

\$23352 4/1/79 grade 24 salary (including 1979 salary increase)

\$24242 4/26/79 grade 25 Chapter 12 promotion salary and July 1, 1979 salary

Recalculation:

				_	_	
^	Λ	2	า	•	7	
`	•	- 3	•	- 7	,	

+701 3% (1 grade) promotion increase
\$24053 Less than paid under Chapter 12; do not change original promotion salary. Proceed to second computation, using Chapter 12 method to apply July 1 performance advance due in lower grade.

4

```
$18369
          1977 grade 24 salary (1974 schedule)
19067
          1978 grade 24 increment salary (1974 schedule)
          1979 grade 24 performance advance (1974 schedule-increment)
 +698
19765
 +728
          Grade 25 promotion increment (1974 schedule)
20493
+1025
          5% (April 1977)
21518
 +820
          4% (October 1977)
22338
+1117
          5% (April 1978)
23455
+1642
          7% (April 1979)
$25097
          July 1, 1979 revised grade 25 salary
```

In this example, the employee is due a salary correction from \$24242 to \$25097 retroactive to the date that the 1979 performance advance was paid.

